

Board of Park Commissioners Project Summary

2023 Parks Playgrounds

Project: 2023052

QuestCDN No.: 8594828

Project Description:

Through the City's 2023 Supplemental Economic Development Distribution, \$2,000,000 has been allocated to Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation for playground improvements in ten parks. The following is a summary of known project costs for playground equipment and anticipated costs for safety surfacing, borders and drainage.

Playground Equipment

Park	Selected Vendor	Equipment Cost
Lawton Park	Sinclair Recreation	\$245,000
McMillen Park	Sinclair Recreation	\$190,000
Kettler Park	Miracle Playgrounds of Indiana	\$180,000
Foster Park	Sinclair Recreation	\$200,000
Northside Park	MidStates Recreation	\$175,000
Tillman Park	Snider Recreation	\$130,000
Turpie Playlot	Commercial Recreation Group	\$120,000
Kreager Park	Recreation InSites	\$175,000
Gren Park	Miracle Playgrounds of Indiana	\$125,000
Boone Park	MidStates Recreation	\$120,000
	Equipment Tota	\$1,660,000

Playground Safety Surface for 10 parks

Anticipated cost of Engineered Wood FIBAR Mulch	\$145,000
---	-----------

Playground Border and Drainage for 10 parks

Anticipated cost of	concrete bor	der and (drainage	\$195,000

Total Project Cost \$2,000,000



Playground	l Equipment fo	or Lawton,	McMillen	and	Foster	Parks
------------	----------------	------------	----------	-----	--------	--------------

Project: 2023052

QuestCDN No.: 8594828

Project Approval Request

Scope of Work:

This project includes the replacement of the playground equipment at Lawton Park, McMillen Park and Foster Park. Proposals have been graded per the Selection Criteria Evaluation Form.

Six proposals were received for Lawton and McMillen Parks and five proposals for Foster Park on behalf of the Board of Park Commissioners on August 24, 2023. Sinclair Recreation received the highest grade for each of the three parks.

Board Approval:

At this time, I would like to request approval for a contract with Sinclair Recreation at a total cost of **§635,000.00**, with the funding to come out of the **Supplemental LIT Award by City Council**.

The Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation and the Board of Park Commissioners, met to host their regularly scheduled monthly Board meeting on September 14, 2023, to approve the above-referenced project and contract, in the amount of \$635,000.00.

We, the Board of Park Commissioners, on the date stated, do ATTEST, sign the above-referenced and attached documents, and approve as presented.

Justin Shurley, President	Cory Miller, Vice-President
Richard Briley, Commissioner	Jenna Jauch, Commissioner



Playground Equip	ment for Bob Arnold	Northside Park	and Boone Park
------------------	---------------------	----------------	----------------

Project: 2023052

QuestCDN No.: 8594828

Project Approval Request

Scope of Work:

This project includes the replacement of the playground equipment at Bob Arnold Northside Park and Boone Park. Proposals have been graded per the Selection Criteria Evaluation Form.

Five proposals were received for Bob Arnold Northside Park and six proposals for Boone Park on behalf of the Board of Park Commissioners on August 24, 2023. MidStates Recreation received the highest grade for the two parks.

Board Approval:

At this time, I would like to request approval for a contract with MidStates Recreation at a total cost of **\$295,000.00**, with the funding to come out of the **Supplemental LIT Award by City Council**.

The Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation and the Board of Park Commissioners, met to host their regularly scheduled monthly Board meeting on September 14, 2023, to approve the above-referenced project and contract, in the amount of \$295,000.00.

We, the Board of Park Commissioners, on the date stated, do ATTEST, sign the above-referenced and attached documents, and approve as presented.

Justin Shurley, President	Cory Miller, Vice-President
Richard Briley, Commissioner	Jenna Jauch, Commissioner



Project: 2022052	
Project: 2023052	
QuestCDN No.: 8594828	
Project Approval Request	
Scope of Work:	
This project includes the replacement of the playground equipment at Kreager Park near the soccer fields. Proposals have been graded per the Selection Criteria Evaluation Form.	
Six proposals were received on behalf of the Board of Park Commissioners on August 24, 2023. Recreation InSites received the highest grade.	
December 1	
Board Approval:	
At this time, I would like to request approval for a contract with Recreation InSites at a total cost of	
\$175,000.00, with the funding to come out of the Supplemental LIT Award by City Council.	
The Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation and the Board of Park Commissioners, met to host their regula	rly
scheduled monthly Board meeting on September 14, 2023, to approve the above-referenced project and contract, in the amount of \$175,000.00.	· · y
We, the Board of Park Commissioners, on the date stated, do ATTEST, sign the above-referenced and attached documents, and approve as presented.	
Justin Shurley, President Cory Miller, Vice-President	

Steve McDaniel, Director, Board Secretary

Jenna Jauch, Commissioner

Richard Briley, Commissioner



Playground	Equipment f	or Kettler	Park and	Gren Park	

Project: 2023052

QuestCDN No.: 8594828
Project Approval Request

Scope of Work:

This project includes the replacement of the playground equipment at Kettler Park and Gren Park. Proposals have been graded per the Selection Criteria Evaluation Form.

Six proposals were received for each park on behalf of the Board of Park Commissioners on August 24, 2023. Miracle Playgrounds of Indiana received the highest grade for the two parks.

Board Approval:

At this time, I would like to request approval for a contract with Miracle Playgrounds of Indiana at a total cost of **\$305,000.00**, with the funding to come out of the **Supplemental LIT Award by City Council**.

The Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation and the Board of Park Commissioners, met to host their regularly scheduled monthly Board meeting on September 14, 2023, to approve the above-referenced project and contract, in the amount of <a href="\$\frac{\$305,000.00.}{00.00.}\$

We, the Board of Park Commissioners, on the date stated, do ATTEST, sign the above-referenced and attached documents, and approve as presented.

Justin Shurley, President	Cory Miller, Vice-President
Richard Briley, Commissioner	Jenna Jauch, Commissioner



Playgrou	and Equipment for	Tillman Park
Project:	2023052	

QuestCDN No.: 8594828
Project Approval Request

Scope of Work:

This project includes the replacement of the playground equipment at Tillman Park. Proposals have been graded per the Selection Criteria Evaluation Form.

Six Proposals were received on behalf of the Board of Park Commissioners on August 24, 2023. Snider Recreation received the highest grade.

Board Approval:

At this time, I would like to request approval for a contract with Snider Recreation at a total cost of **§130,000.00**, with the funding to come out of the **Supplemental LIT Award by City Council**.

The Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation and the Board of Park Commissioners, met to host their regularly scheduled monthly Board meeting on September 14, 2023, to approve the above-referenced project and contract, in the amount of \$130,000.00.

We, the Board of Park Commissioners, on the date stated, do ATTEST, sign the above-referenced and attached documents, and approve as presented.

Justin Shurley, President	Cory Miller, Vice-President
Richard Briley, Commissioner	Jenna Jauch, Commissioner



Playground Equipment for Turpie Playlot Project: 2023052 QuestCDN No.: 8594828 Project Approval Request
Scope of Work:
This project includes the replacement of the playground equipment at Turpie Playlot. Proposals have been graded per the Selection Criteria Evaluation Form.
Six proposals were received on behalf of the Board of Park Commissioners on August 24, 2023 with Commercial Recreation Group receiving the highest grade.
Board Approval: At this time, I would like to request approval for a contract with Commercial Recreation Group at a total cost of \$120,000.00, with the funding to come out of the Supplemental LIT Award by City Council.
The Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation and the Board of Park Commissioners, met to host their regularly scheduled monthly Board meeting on September 14, 2023, to approve the above-referenced project and contract, in the amount of \$120,000.00.
We, the Board of Park Commissioners, on the date stated, do ATTEST, sign the above-referenced and attached documents, and approve as presented.
Justin Shurley, President Cory Miller, Vice-President

Steve McDaniel, Director, Board Secretary

Jenna Jauch, Commissioner

Richard Briley, Commissioner





City of Fort Wayne Lawton Park Playground







City of Fort Wayne Lawton Park Playground







City of Fort Wayne Lawton Park Playground



















City of Fort Wayne McMillen Park Playground







City of Fort Wayne McMillen Park Playground







City of Fort Wayne McMillen Park Playground







City of Fort Wayne Foster Park Playground









City of Fort Wayne Foster Park Playground







City of Fort Wayne Foster Park Playground





























































SINCLAIR SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Lawton Park

Α	В	С	D	Е
	WEIGHT	SCORE	ЕХТ.	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	1 - 10	0, 1, 3, 6, 9	SCORE	COMMENTS
Vendor's qualifications and	And the second of the second o	Control of the Contro		
experience. Clearly stated in	10	9	90	Business started in 2003.
proposal. Playground manufacturer's				
years in business. Clearly stated	8	9	72	GameTime since 1929
in proposal.	0	9	/2	Game time since 1929
Installer's qualifications and				Play Builders. In-house
experience. Clearly stated in the	8	9	72	installation crew plus 5
proposal.				additional sub crews.
Sales Team's qualifications and				29 22 veers eversioned serve of
experience including resumes	6	9	54	28-33 years experience, some of which prior to business start up
and past project experience				which phot to business start up
Warranty length for equipment	8	9	72	Closuly stated
and installation	0	9	/2	Clearly stated
Lead time of proposed				
equipment, and timeframe	8	9	72	8-12 weeks
clearly stated in proposal Design utilizes the available				
playground space effectively and	10	9	90	Excellent
minimizes void areas				
Design provides for multiple				
activities including: motor skills,				
sensory system, core strength,	10	9	90	Excellent
balance, coordination and social				
interaction				
Quality of design, creativity and				
appropriateness for surrounding	10	9	90	Excellent
area				
Accessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
Design follows the			Control of the state of the sta	
requirements of the Request for	10	9	90	Excellent
Proposal			IN SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF	
Completeness & quality of the proposal	6	9	54	Excellent
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	891	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

SINCLAIR SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

McMillen Park

		7		
Α	В	С	D	E
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE	EXT.	
	1 - 10	0, 1, 3, 6, 9	SCORE	COMMENTS
Vendor's qualifications and			44 (14)	
experience. Clearly stated in proposal.	10	9	90	Business started in 2003.
Playground manufacturer's years in business. Clearly stated in proposal.	8	9	72	GameTime since 1929
Installer's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in the proposal.	8	9	72	Play Builders. In-house
Sales Team's qualifications and experience including resumes and past project experience	6	9	54	additional sub crews. 28-33 years experience, some of which prior to business start up
Warranty length for equipment and installation	8	9	72	Clearly stated
Lead time of proposed equipment, and timeframe clearly stated in proposal Design utilizes the available	8	9	72	8-12 weeks
playground space effectively and minimizes void areas	10	9	90	Excellent
Design provides for multiple activities including: motor skills, ensory system, core strength, palance, coordination and social interaction	10	9	90	Excellent
Quality of design, creativity and ppropriateness for surrounding rea	10	9	90	Excellent
ccessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
esign follows the equirements of the Request for roposal	10	9	90	Excellent
ompleteness & quality of the coposal	6	9	54	Excellent
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	891	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

MIRACLE SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Kettler Park

	7			
Α	В	С	D	Ε
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE	EXT.	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	1 - 10	0, 1, 3, 6, 9	SCORE	COMMENTS
Vendor's qualifications and				
experience. Clearly stated in proposal.	10	9	90	Re-established in 2021. Previou experience as Miracle Midwest.
Playground manufacturer's years in business. Clearly stated in proposal.	8	9	72	Miracle equipment since 1927
Installer's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in the proposal.	8	6	48	Some mention of installation, but no reference material provided for installation crew
Sales Team's qualifications and experience including resumes and past project experience	6	9	54	Ranges from 6-20 years
Warranty length for equipment and installation Lead time of proposed	8	9	72	Clearly stated in proposal
equipment, and timeframe clearly stated in proposal Design utilizes the available	8	6	48	22 weeks, longer than others
playground space effectively and minimizes void areas	10	9	90	Excellent
Design provides for multiple activities including: motor skills, ensory system, core strength, alance, coordination and social attraction	10	9	90	Excellent
Quality of design, creativity and ppropriateness for surrounding rea	10	9	90	Excellent
ccessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
esign follows the equirements of the Request for coposal	10	9	90	Excellent
ompleteness & quality of the oposal	6	9	54	Excellent
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	843	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

SINCLAIR SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Foster Park

В	C	D	E
WEIGHT	SCORE	EXT.	
1 - 10	0, 1, 3, 6,	SCORE	COMMENTS
			The state of the s
10	9	90	Business started in 2003.
8	9	72	GameTime since 1929
		/2	Game time since 1929
			Play Builders. In-house
8	9	72	installation crew plus 5 additional sub crews.
6	9	54	28-33 years experience, some
			which prior to business start up
8	9	72	Clearly stated
		12.	Clearly Stated
8	g	72	8-12 weeks
		/2	o-12 weeks
4.0			
10	9	90	Excellent
10	9	90	Excellent
10		00	
10	9	90	Excellent
5	9	45	Excellent
		0.00	
10	9	90	Excellent
6	9	54	Excellent
891	TOTAL:	891	- Asserted to the second secon
	1-10 8 8 8 6 8 10 10 10 5 10 6	WEIGHT SCORE 1-10 0,1,3,6,9 10 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 10 9 10 9 5 9 10 9 5 9 10 9 6 9	WEIGHT SCORE 1-10 EXT. SCORE 5 SCORE 1-10 9 90 8 9 72 8 9 72 8 9 72 8 9 72 8 9 72 10 9 90 10 9 90 10 9 90 5 9 45 10 9 90 5 9 45 10 9 90

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

MIDSTATES SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Northside Park

	0		2	
А	В	С	D	E
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE	EXT.	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	1 - 10	0, 1, 3, 6, 9	SCORE	COMMENTS
Vendor's qualifications and			The second secon	
experience. Clearly stated in proposal.	10	9	90	19 Years, clearly stated
Playground manufacturer's years in business. Clearly stated in proposal.	8	9	72	Playworld. Vendor states 19 years of dealing Playworld equipment.
Installer's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in the proposal.	8	. 9	72	Installer certification included
Sales Team's qualifications and experience including resumes and past project experience	6	9	54	Ranges from 5-32 years.
Warranty length for equipment and installation	8	9	72	Clearly stated in proposal
Lead time of proposed equipment, and timeframe clearly stated in proposal Design utilizes the available	8	9	72	12-14 weeks
olayground space effectively and minimizes void areas	10	9	90	Excellent
Design provides for multiple activities including: motor skills, sensory system, core strength, palance, coordination and social interaction	10	9	90	Excellent
Quality of design, creativity and ppropriateness for surrounding rea	10	9	90	Excellent
ccessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
esign follows the equirements of the Request for roposal	10	9	90	Excellent
ompleteness & quality of the roposal	6	9	54	Excellent
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	891	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

SNIDER SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Tillman Park

		-		
Α	В	С	D	E
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE	EXT.	
	1 - 10	0, 1, 3, 6, 9	SCORE	COMMENTS
Vendor's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in proposal.	10	9	90	Since 1980s. Ownership change in 2014.
Playground manufacturer's years in business. Clearly stated in proposal.	8	9	72	BCI Burke Co. First equipment approximately 1925-1935
Installer's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in the proposal.	8	9	72	Steve Sarges, 10 years experience
Sales Team's qualifications and experience including resumes and past project experience	6	9	54	Previous experiences with Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation
Warranty length for equipment and installation	8	9	72	Clearly stated
Lead time of proposed equipment, and timeframe clearly stated in proposal Design utilizes the available	8	3	24	Not clearly stated
playground space effectively and minimizes void areas	10	9	90	Excellent
Design provides for multiple activities including: motor skills, sensory system, core strength, balance, coordination and social interaction	10	9	90	Excellent
Quality of design, creativity and appropriateness for surrounding area	10	9	90	Excellent
Accessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
Design follows the requirements of the Request for Proposal	10	9	90	Excellent
Completeness & quality of the proposal	6	9	54	Excellent
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	843	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

CRG SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Turpie Park

		1		
Α	В	С	D	E
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE	EXT.	
LUALOATION CRITERIA	1 - 10	0, 1, 3, 6,	SCORE	COMMENTS
Vendor's qualifications and	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		Heren The Control of	
experience. Clearly stated in proposal.	10	9	90	30 Years, clearly stated
Playground manufacturer's years in business. Clearly stated in proposal.	8	9	72	26 Years, clearly stated
Installer's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in the proposal.	8	9	72	4 Installation crews with 70 years experience combined
Sales Team's qualifications and experience including resumes and past project experience	6	9	54	6 Years, clearly stated. Past projects included.
Warranty length for equipment and installation	8	9	72	Clearly stated in proposal
Lead time of proposed equipment, and timeframe clearly stated in proposal Design utilizes the available	8	9	72	15 weeks
playground space effectively and minimizes void areas	10	9	90	Excellent
Design provides for multiple activities including: motor skills, ensory system, core strength, alance, coordination and social atteraction	10	9	90	Excellent
uality of design, creativity and ppropriateness for surrounding rea	10	9	90	Excellent
ccessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
esign follows the quirements of the Request for oposal	10	9	90	Excellent
ompleteness & quality of the oposal	6	9	54	Excellent
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	891	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

RECREATION INSITES SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Kreager Park

A	В	С	D	E
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT 1 - 10	SCORE 0, 1, 3, 6,	EXT. SCORE	COMMENTS
Vendor's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in proposal.	10	9	90	11 Years in business
Playground manufacturer's years in business. Clearly stated in proposal.	8	9	72	Kompan. 53 Years experience
Installer's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in the proposal.	8	9	72	Carnahan Excavation started in 2021. Previous construction related qualifications. FWCS reference letter included.
Sales Team's qualifications and experience including resumes and past project experience	6	9	54	Ranges from 3-11 Years
Warranty length for equipment and installation	8	9	72	Clearly stated in proposal
ead time of proposed equipment, and timeframe clearly stated in proposal Design utilizes the available	8	3	24	Not clearly stated
playground space effectively and ninimizes void areas	10	9	90	Excellent
Design provides for multiple ctivities including: motor skills, ensory system, core strength, alance, coordination and social steraction	10	9	90	Excellent
uality of design, creativity and opropriateness for surrounding rea	10	9	90	Excellent
ccessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
esign follows the quirements of the Request for oposal	10	9	90	Excellent
ompleteness & quality of the oposal	6	9	54	Excellent
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	843	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

MIRACLE SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Gren Park

Α	8	С	D	E
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT 1 - 10	SCORE 0, 1, 3, 6, 9	EXT.	COMMENTS
Vendor's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in proposal.	10	9	90	Re-established in 2021. Previo experience as Miracle Midwes
Playground manufacturer's years in business. Clearly stated in proposal.	8	9	72	Miracle equipment since 1927
Installer's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in the proposal.	8	6	48	Some mention of installation, but no reference material provided for installation crew
Sales Team's qualifications and experience including resumes and past project experience	6	9	54	Ranges from 6-20 years
Varranty length for equipment nd installation ead time of proposed	8	9	72	Clearly stated in proposal
quipment, and timeframe learly stated in proposal esign utilizes the available	8	6	48	22 weeks, longer than others
layground space effectively and linimizes void areas	10	9	90	Excellent
esign provides for multiple ctivities including: motor skills, ensory system, core strength, plance, coordination and social teraction	10	9	90	Excellent
uality of design, creativity and propriateness for surrounding	10	9	90	Excellent
cessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
sign follows the quirements of the Request for posal	10	9	90	Excellent
npleteness & quality of the posal	6	9	54	Excellent
AXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	843	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

MIDSTATES SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Boone Park

A	В	С	D	E
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE	EXT.	COMMENTS
	1 - 10	0, 1, 3, 6,	SCORE	
Vendor's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in proposal.	10	9	90	19 Years, clearly stated
Playground manufacturer's years in business. Clearly stated in proposal.	8	9	72	Playworld. Vendor states 19 years of dealing Playworld equipment.
Installer's qualifications and experience. Clearly stated in the proposal.	8	9	72	Installer certification included
Sales Team's qualifications and experience including resumes and past project experience	6	9	54	Ranges from 5-32 years.
Warranty length for equipment	8	9	72	Clearly stated in proposal
Lead time of proposed equipment, and timeframe clearly stated in proposal Design utilizes the available	8	9	72	12-14 weeks
olayground space effectively and minimizes void areas	10	9	90	Excellent
Design provides for multiple activities including: motor skills, ensory system, core strength, palance, coordination and social attraction	10	9	90	Excellent
Quality of design, creativity and ppropriateness for surrounding rea	10	9	90	Excellent
ccessibility/Universal Design	5	9	45	Excellent
esign follows the equirements of the Request for roposal	10	9	90	Excellent
ompleteness & quality of the oposal	6	9	54	Excellent
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	891	TOTAL:	891	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C